

## Species, Age and Sex Effect on Thermoregulatory Parameters of Animals in Hot Season of Mubi

<sup>1</sup>Abbaya, H.Y., <sup>1</sup>Philimon, Y., <sup>2</sup>Elihu, A., <sup>3</sup>Lawal, A. U. and <sup>4</sup>Lumbonyi, I. A.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Production, Adamawa State University, Mubi, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Zoology, Adamawa State University, Mubi, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>Department of Animal Health and Production Technology, Federal Polytechnic, Mubi, Nigeria

<sup>4</sup>Department of Agricultural Education, Federal College of Education, Yola, Nigeria

Corresponding Authors' Email: [abbaya177@gmail.com](mailto:abbaya177@gmail.com)

DOI: 10.56201/jbgr.v8.no2.2022.pg1.12

---

### ABSTRACT

*The study was carried out to determine the effect of species, sex and age on thermoregulatory traits of three species of animals in the hot season of Mubi. The species were cattle, sheep and goat. A total of forty eight (48) animals were used, comprising of sixteen (16) each of cattle, sheep and goat that were sourced at international cattle market Mubi. Thermoregulatory parameters taken were, rectal temperature (RT), Respiration rate (RR) and Pulse rate (PR). Heat Tolerance Coefficient was calculated as an index. The thermoregulatory traits measured were subjected to analysis of variance using statistical analysis for sciences (SAS) and means were separated using Duncan Multiple Range Test. Species and sex significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) affected rectal temperature and pulse rate. The highest recorded rectal temperature was in goat ( $39.74^{\circ}\text{C}$ ). The highest pulse rate was in cattle (59.08 beats/minutes). The highest recorded rectal temperature was in male cattle ( $39.03^{\circ}\text{C}$ ). The highest pulse rate was in female goat (36.97 beats/minute), respectively. The highest recorded rectal temperature was in adult sheep ( $39.03^{\circ}\text{C}$ ). The highest recorded respiratory rate was in young cattle (72.67 breaths/minute). The highest recorded pulse rate was in young sheep (39.33beats/minute). The highest recorded heat tolerance coefficient was in young cattle (4.48). Respiration rate perfectly correlated positively ( $P < 0.001$ ;  $r = 0.99$ ) with Heat Tolerant Coefficient. It was concluded that sheep had better thermoregulation ability than cattle and goat. This study recommend a provision of sheds at animal's stands to reduce the direct effect of radiation on the animals at Mubi livestock market.*

---

### INTRODUCTION

The livestock sector is an important sector because of its contribution in the Global food security. They are said to provide 17% of global kilocalories and 33% of global protein consumption (Athira *et al.*, 2017; Nayak *et al.*, 2018) and the demand for products from this sector is increasing rapidly particularly in the developing countries (Tapki and Sahin, 2006; Brscic *et al.*, 2007; Athira *et al.*, 2017). For instance, increasing demand for livestock product exist recently

because of increase in population size, rising income, urbanization and insecurity in most of the developing countries (Delgado, 2005; Athira *et al.*, 2017).

Heat stress which comes as a result of climate change have direct and indirect effect on animals' productivity and welfare. This concern is more pronounced because of increase rapid population growth and the more the population growth, the more the need of animal protein (Nardone *et al.*, 2010; Baumgard *et al.*, 2012; Nardone *et al.*, 2010). Heat stress can be defined as situation or condition caused by an animal's inability to dissipate body heat efficiently to balance body temperature through a vital process that is known as thermoregulation (Rolf, 2015). Heat stress plays a significant negative role in animal performance and can be of greater impact in the future as climate change continuous (Raymond, 2017).

Among the environmental variables affecting animals, heat stress is one of the factors making animal production challenging in many part of the world (El – Tarabany *et al.*, 2017). Although animal can adopt to climatic stressors, the response mechanisms that ensure survival are also detrimental to performance (Athira *et al.*, 2017; Pragna *et al.*, 2018). The vulnerability of livestock to heat stress varies based on species, breed, sex, age and genetic potential, life stage, management or production system and nutritional status (Das *et al.*, 2016). Moreover, under the testing environmental conditions animal productivity is affected and this result in economic losses for livestock industries.

Heat stress affect the growth performance (Baumgard *et al.*; 2012), milk production (Das *et al.*, 2016), reproductive performance (Rhoads *et al.*; 2009), milk production (Archana *et al.*, 2018) and disease occurrences (Rojas – Dowling *et al.*, 2017). Different breed and genotype have been reported to respond differently to varying environmental factors to be affected by adverse metrological conditions prevailing in the tropical Africa; predisposing them to hypothermia (that is heat stress) and hypothermia which is cold stress or shock (Dasilva *et al.*, 2003). Some physiological traits such as sweating rate, rectal temperature and respiration rate have been reported to be related to the ability of the animals to cope with heat stress (Garner *et al.*, 2016; Abbaya *et al.*, 2020).

Rectal temperature, respiratory rate, pulse rate and heart rate have been used as a reliable indicators of short term physical stress in animals (Kubkomawa *et al.*, 2015). Heat stress causes changes in phenotypic and genotypic traits in livestock and is quantified by the measurement of physiological responses such as respiration rate (RR), rectal temperature (RT), skin temperature (ST), pulse rate (PR) and sweating rate (SR) (Rashamol *et al.*, 2018). Among the physiological traits RR, RT and ST are identified as the biomarkers for quantifying the heat stress impact on livestock (Shaji *et al.*, 2016). To minimize problems related to heat stress, one way strategies is to select animals with superior genetic potential for adaptation to tropical climate (Baena *et al.*, 2018). Mubi international livestock market is one of the biggest livestock markets in Nigeria where livestock are kept and sold every day. Information on thermoregulatory responses of the livestock kept and sold there will go a long way in knowing how these livestock should be properly handled for maximum profit. The objective of this paper therefore, is to evaluate species, age, and sex effect on the thermoregulatory parameters of cattle sheep and goat.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Experimental site

The study was conducted in Mubi, which is located in the sub – Sudan Savanah vegetation belt with coordinate of 10° 16'N, 13° 16'E and an altitude of 1906 feet. The major occupation of the people is farming. However, because of the Yedseram River the people also engage in fishing activities but at a subsistence level. The climatic is tropical with average temperature of about 32.90°C in dry season with relative rainfall. The major tribe of the town are; Gude, Nzanyi and Fali with Fulani, Margi, Higgi and Mundang as minority (Adeboye *et al.*, 2020). The average annual temperature is 23.9°C while the average rainfall is 1629mm (climate – data. Org, 2018). The least amount of rainfall occurs in July with an average of 77mm. Most precipitation falls in November with an average of 179mm. The temperature are highest on average in April, at around 24.4°C. In July, the average temperature is 23.2°C which is the lowest average temperature of the whole year (Adeboye *et al.*, 2020).

### Sources of Experimental Animals and Management

A total of Forty eight (48) animals was used, comprising of sixteen (16) each of cattle, sheep and goat. The animals used for the experiment were sourced at international cattle market Mubi. They were selected based on age and sex.

### Age Estimation:

For cattle, the animals used for sampling were less than 2 years, and greater than 4 years. This gave rise to animals being categorized as young and adult for both males and females. For goats and sheep, animals were categorized into age groups as follows: less than 1 year, and more than 2 years. These for both males and females gave rise to young and adult animals, respectively. Dental formulae of the animals were used to estimate the ages for the animals. For cattle, sheep and goat, the formula used was (003/4033 and 0033/4033), for young and adult, respectively (Roderick, 1965; Akpa *et al.*, 2017).

### Thermoregulatory data collection

**Rectal temperature (RT):** This was taken using a digital thermometer. The sensory tip was disinfected and inserted into the rectum at the display of L°C by the sound of alarm signal. It was recorded in °C.

**Respiration rate (RR):** This was determined by counting the number of flank movements per minute as recorded in breaths/minute

**Pulse rate (PR):** this was taken by using placing a stethoscope. It was measured by placing the device on the femoral arteries of the hind limb for 1 minute. It was recorded in beats/minute

**Heat Tolerance Coefficient (HTC):** HTC was calculated based on heat tolerance index developed by Benezra (1954). The formula is based on both respiration rate and rectal temperature.

$$HTC:RR/23+RT/38.33$$

### Data Analysis

#### Statistical model

The statistical model for the experiment is as given below;

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + S_i + e_{ij}$$

Where,  $\mu$  = general mean,  $S_i = i^{\text{th}}$  effect of Specie ( $S = 3$ ) and  $e_{ij}$  = experimental error.

### Statistical analysis

All data collected on thermoregulatory parameters were subjected to analysis of variance using the general linear model of SAS 9.0 (SAS, 2004). Means with significant differences were separated using Duncan Multiple Range Test (Duncan, 1955). Correlation coefficient was estimated using the correlation procedure of the same software.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows the effect of species on thermoregulatory parameters of cattle, sheep and goat. Species significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) affected rectal temperature and pulse rate. The highest recorded rectal temperature was in goat ( $39.74^{\circ}\text{C}$ ). The highest pulse rate was in cattle (59.08 beats/minute).

Physiological adaptive mechanisms vary between species and within specie (Rashamol *et al.*, 2018) and accurate measurement of heat stress in dairy cows is complicated since response to heat stress affects many processes (Atrian and Aghdam, 2012). Some physiological traits have been reported to be related to the ability of the animal to cope with heat stress (Garner *et al.*, 2016; Ribeiro *et al.*, 2018). These traits are sweating rate, rectal temperature and respiration rate. They increase when animals are exposed to warm environment (Dikmen *et al.*, 2012; Perano *et al.*, 2015; Garner *et al.*, 2016; Rashamol *et al.*, 2018). Even though the rectal temperature of goat recorded in this study fall within the normal range ( $38.3^{\circ}\text{C} - 40.0^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) (Swenson and Reece, 2006; Ribeiro *et al.*, 2018), the superiority of goat in rectal temperature against cattle and sheep in this study suggest that the goats in the study area react more to heat than cattle and sheep (Rashamol *et al.*, 2018). Rectal temperature has been reported to be an ideal indicator for heat load in the animal's body and may be used to assess the adversity of the thermal environment which can affect the growth, lactation, and production of dairy cows (Johnson, 1980; Dikmen and Hansen, 2009; Koga *et al.*, 2004; Thatcher *et al.*, 2010; Rashamol *et al.*, 2018). Increased rectal temperature in animals is a sign of discomfort (Singh *et al.*, 2014). Rectal temperature is a product of balance between heat generated as a result of basal metabolism as well as the muscular activities and the heat lost from the body (Kubkomawa *et al.*, 2015). The higher recorded rectal temperature in goat in this study may be due to the factors such as body size, excitement, stage of pregnancy, physical activity, time of the day the environment (ambient temperature and humidity), content and fullness of the digestive tract of the animal that has been reported to affect thermoregulation in animals (Prendiville *et al.*, 2002; Kubkomawa *et al.*, 2015; Pragna *et al.*, 2016).

Pulse rate which is a rhythmic, periodic thrust felt over an artery in time and rhythm with the heartbeat (Babayemi *et al.*, 2014; Kubkomawa *et al.*, 2015) has been reported to be one of the major responses of animals to heat stress (Garner *et al.*, 2016; Rashamol *et al.*, 2018). The superiority of cattle in pulse rate over other species in this study suggests that cattle just like goat was more responsive to heat stress in the study area than sheep. This is because an increase in pulse rate will bring about an increase in the flow of blood to the body parts which will result in higher heat loss by sensitive and in sensitive ways (Marai *et al.*, 2007; Ribeiro *et al.*, 2018). The lowest values of thermoregulatory parameters in sheep in this studies could be due to the fact that

sheep require small amount of energy to maintain normothermia with the thermoneutral zone (Moberg, 2000; Perez *et al.*, 2020). This concur with the fact that the capacity of an animal to cope with the effect of increasingly environmental temperature without becoming heat stressed, differs within and between species (Aleena *et al.*, 2020). Also in line with this study, it was reported that sheep and goats are more tolerant to heat stress, water and water scarcity than cattle (Aziz, 2010; Aleena *et al.*, 2020).

**Table 1: Effect of species (Mean ±S.E) on thermoregulatory traits**

Parameters	Cattle	Goat	Sheep
Rectal Temperature (°C)	38.85 <sup>b</sup> ±0.1	39.74 <sup>a</sup> ±0.18	38.68 <sup>b</sup> ±0.16
Respiratory Rate (breaths/ minutes)	59.50±5.25	57.50±3.20	61.75±2.21
Pulse Rate (beats/minute)	59.08 <sup>a</sup> ±2.44	33.98 <sup>b</sup> ±1.17	36.17 <sup>b</sup> ±1.08
Heat Tolerant Coefficient	3.600±0.23	3.54±0.14	3.69±0.10

<sup>ab</sup> means with different superscripts along the rows are significantly different; S.E= Standard Error

Table 2 shows the effect of sex on the thermoregulatory parameters of cattle, sheep and goat. Sex had only significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) affected the rectal temperature in cattle and pulse rate in goat. The highest recorded rectal temperature was in male cattle (39.03°C). And the highest pulse rate was in female goat (36.97 beats/minute).

The significant effect of sex on the thermoregulatory parameters of goat in this study agreed with the report of Yakubu *et al.* (2016) reported a higher pulse rate (109.47) in female than male (97.01) in of Nigerian goats. Contrary to this findings also, Yakubu *et al.* (2016) reported a significant effect of sex on rectal temperature in Nigerian goats. Among other factors that can affect physiological response of animals to heat stress include; species, breed, sex, age and genetic potential, life stage, location, management or production system and nutritional status (Das *et al.*, 2016; Yakubu *et al.*, 2016). Sex had not significantly affected any of the thermoregulatory parameters in this study. Contrary to the findings of this experiment, authors (Fadare *et al.*, 2012; Gemechu and Kibeb, 2017; Ibn Idriss and Abdul Rahim, 2018; Suleiman *et al.*, 2020) reported sexual dimorphism in rectal temperature, respiration rate and pulse rate with female having higher values than male sheep. Discrepancies observed with this study could be as a result of differences in breeds and location of experiment (Dasilva *et al.*, 2003; Bello *et al.*, 2016). In a separate study on goats in Democratic Republic of Congo, female goats subjected to prolonged solar radiation recorded higher physiological parameters than their male counterparts (Baenyi *et al.*, 2020) while Suleiman *et al.* (2020) on the contrary, reported higher values of rectal temperature in male goats than in females. The high recorded value of pulse rate was in female goats than in males this may be due to vasodilation of skin capillaries bed which to increase blood flow to the body surface areas to facilitate heat loss (Wojtas *et al.*, 2014; Baenyi *et al.*, 2020) since female goats are affected by heat stress (Acharya *et al.*, 1995).

**Table 2: Effect of sex on thermoregulatory parameters of cattle, sheep and goat**

Species	Sex	RT	RR	PR	HTC
Cattle	Male	39.03±0.18 <sup>a</sup>	59.00±2.53	56.00±2.53	3.58±0.38
	Female	38.67±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	60.00±6.61	62.17±3.98	3.62±0.29

Sheep	Male	38.70±0.27	65.50±2.63	35.67±1.56	3.86±0.12
	Female	38.65±0.21	58.00±3.00	36.67±1.61	3.53±1.34
Goat	Male	39.87±0.29	51.67±5.07	31.00±0.82 <sup>b</sup>	3.29±0.22
	Female	29.62±0.21	63.33±2.40	36.97±1.33 <sup>a</sup>	3.79±0.11

RT: rectal temperature, RR: respiratory rate, PR: pulse rate, HTC: heat tolerance coefficient

Table 3 shows the effect of age on thermoregulatory parameters of cattle, sheep and goat. Age significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) affected rectal temperature, respiratory rate, pulse rate and heat tolerance coefficient. The highest recorded rectal temperature was in adult sheep (39.03 °C). The highest recorded respiratory rate was in young cattle (72.67 breaths/minute). The highest recorded pulse rate was in young sheep (39.33 beats/minute). The highest recorded heat tolerance coefficient was in young cattle (4.48).

Heat tolerance coefficient measures the adaptability of an animal during heat stress. Hence lower HTC may indicate an improved thermo-tolerance which had been useful in genetic improvement in cattle (Kumar *et al.*, 2017). From this study therefore, adult cattle were the most adaptable in the studied area. The high value of HTC recorded in young cattle species could be attributed to the fact that young cattle suffer most from heat stress absorbed from the environment due to their inheritability, (Blackshaw and Blackshaw, 1994; West, 1994; Dikmen and Hansen, 2009; Thatcher *et al.*, 2010). Rectal temperature in this study was higher in adult sheep than in young sheep. Contrary to the findings of this experiment, Gemechu and Kibeb, (2017) reported a higher rectal temperature was significantly (39.21) higher in young sheep (1-3 years) than in adult (39.15) sheep (>3 years). In a separate experiment on goats, Bello *et al.* (2016) reported a lower body temperature in adult West African Dwarf goats than in the young suggesting that adults goats are better able to resist changes in body temperature than kids. Contrary to the result of this study also, rectal temperature, respiration rate and pulse rates for 3 years sheep were reported to be higher than 2.5 years sheep (Suleiman *et al.*, 2020).

**Table 3: Effect of age on thermoregulatory parameters of cattle, sheep and goat**

Species	Age	RT	RR	PR	HTC
Cattle	Young	39.00±0.16	72.67±5.97 <sup>a</sup>	61.50±4.58	4.48±4.18 <sup>a</sup>
	Adult	38.70±0.10	46.33±4.01 <sup>b</sup>	56.67±1.91	3.02±0.17 <sup>b</sup>
Sheep	Young	38.31±32 <sup>b</sup>	58.83±2.65	39.33±0.99 <sup>a</sup>	3.56±0.11
	Adult	39.03±0.08 <sup>a</sup>	64.67±3.33	33.00±0.35 <sup>b</sup>	3.83±0.14
Goat	Young	39.98±0.23	55.00±5.84	34.80±2.36 <sup>a</sup>	3.43±0.25
	Adult	39.50±0.25	60.00±2.92	33.17±0.40 <sup>b</sup>	3.64±0.13

RT: rectal temperature, RR: respiratory rate, PR: pulse rate, HTC: heat tolerance coefficient

Table 4 shows the correlation between thermoregulatory parameters of cattle, sheep and goat. Rectal temperature significantly ( $P < 0.05-0.01$ ,  $r = -0.76-0.48$ ) correlated with RR (0.45); PR (-0.76) and HTC (0.48) in sheep. RR significantly ( $P < 0.05-0.01$ ;  $r = 0.05-0.99$ ) with HTC (0.99) in cattle, RR and HTC (-0.50 and 0.99). PR correlated positively ( $P < 0.05-0.01$ )  $r = -0.52-0.73$  with HTC in sheep and goat (-0.52 and 0.73) respectively.

The positive relationship among between thermoregulatory parameters in cattle, sheep and goats in this study concur with the report of Singh *et al.* (2014) who also reported a positive correlation between Rectal Temperature, respiration rate and other physiological responses in Murrah buffaloes, Karan Fries and cross bred cattle. Also, strong correlation was reported between rectal temperature and vaginal temperature in grazing *Bos Taurus* heifers (Lees *et al.*, 2018). Heat Tolerant Coefficient has been reported to be the ideal measurement of heat stress in animals Nardone and Valenti, 2000; Heather and Chain, 2016) and rectal temperature, respiration rate and pulse rate are the major biomarkers of heat stress in animals (Shaji *et al.*, 2016; Da Silver *et al.*, 2017; Rashamol *et al.*, 2018). This implies therefore, a significant increase in those thermoregulatory parameters that are positively correlated with each other will bring about a significant increase in the other, vice versa (Akpa *et al.*, 2017; Abbaya *et al.*, 2020).

**Table 4: Correlation between thermoregulatory parameters in cattle, sheep and goat**

		RT	RR	PR
<b>Cattle</b>	RT			
	RR	0.03		
	PR	- 0.23	- 0.08	
	HTC	0.15	0.99***	- 0.08
<b>Sheep</b>	RT			
	RR	0.45*		
	PR	-0.76**	-0.50*	
	HTC	0.48*	0.99***	-0.52*
<b>Goat</b>	RT			
	RR	0.13		
	PR	-0.01	0.73**	
	HTC	0.16	0.99***	0.73**

RT: rectal temperature, RR: respiratory rate, PR: pulse rate, HTC: heat tolerance coefficient, \*significant, \*\*high significant, \*\*\*very highly significant, RT: rectal temperature, RR: respiratory rate, PR: pulse rate, HTC: heat tolerance coefficient

## CONCLUSION

It was concluded sheep had better thermo tolerance than cattle and goat. The highest rectal temperature recorded in male cattle while the highest recorded pulse rate was recorded in female goat. Rectal temperature was highest in adult sheep, highest respiration rate was in young cattle. Pulse rate was highest in young sheep while the highest recorded heat tolerance coefficient was in young cattle. We recommend that research be carried on the species, age and sex effect on thermoregulatory parameters in cold season of Mubi for a better comparison.

## REFERENCES

- Abbaya, H.Y., Adedibu, I. I., Kabir, M. and Iyiola-Tunji, A. O. (2020). Breed variation in milk traits and their correlated relationships in some selected indigenous breeds of cattle in Adamawa State in late rainy season. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*, 47(1): 1-11.
- Acharya RM, Gupta UD, Sehgal JP, Singh M. Coat characteristics of goats in relation to heat tolerance in the hot tropics. *Small Rumin. Res.* 1995; 18:245-248.
- Adebayo, A. A., Tukur, A. L. and Zemba, A. A. (2020). *Adamawa State Maps*. Paraclete Publishers, Yola, Nigeria. Pp 3 – 11.
- Akpa, G.N., Abbaya, H.Y. and Saley, M. E. (2017). Comparative evaluation of sources of supply of edible meat from camel with cattle, sheep and goats in Sahel environment. *Animal Research International*, 14 (1): 2588 – 2597.
- Aleena J., Frank R. D., Brian J. L., Iain J. C., Kristy D. and Surinder, S. C. (2020). Resilience of Small Ruminants to Climate Change and Increased Environmental Temperature: A Review. *Animals* 2020, 10, 867; doi: 10.3390/ani10050867
- Archana, P., Sejian, V., Ruban, W., Bagath, M., Krishnan, G., Aleena, J., Manjunathareddy, G., Beena, V., Bhatta, R. (2018). Comparative assessment of heat stress induced changes in carcass traits, plasma leptin profile and skeletal muscle myostatin and hsp70 gene expression patterns between indigenous osmanabadi and salem black goat breeds. *Meat Science*, 141: 66–80.
- Athira, P., Ratnakaran, V., Sejian, V., Sanjo J., Shalini, V. M., Bagath, G., Krishnan, V., Beena, P., Indira D., Girish, V. and Bhatta, R. (2017). Behavioral responses to livestock adaptation to heat stress challenges. *Asian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 11: 1-13.
- Atrian, P. H. and Aghdam, S. (2012). Heat Stress in Dairy Cows (A Review). *Research in Zoology*, 2(4): 31-37.
- Aziz, M.A. (2010). Present status of the world goat populations and their productivity. *World*, 861, 1.
- Babayemi, O. J., Abu, O. A. and Opakunbi, A. (2014). *Integrated animal husbandry for schools and colleges*, First edition. Positive Press Ibadan, Nigeria, pp. 20 - 122.
- Baena, M. M., Tizioto, P. C., Meirelles. S.L.C and Regitano, L.C.A. (2018). HSF1 and HSPA6 as functional candidate genes associated with heat tolerance in Angus cattle. *Ravista Brasileira de Zootecnia*, 47: 1 – 7.
- Baenyi, S. P., Birindwa, A. B., Mutwedu, V. B., Mugumaarhahama, Y., Munga, A., Mitima, B., Kamgang, V. B., Balthazar Ayagirwe, R. B.B. (2020). Effects of coat color pattern and sex on physiological traits and heat tolerance of indigenous goats exposed to solar radiation. *Journal of Animal Behavior and Biometeorology*, 8:142-151.
- Baumgard, L. H. and Rhoads, R. P. (2012). Ruminant Nutrition symposium: Ruminant Production and Metabolic Responses to Heat Stress. *Journal of Animal Science*, 90: 1855–1865.
- Bello, S.A., Akintunde, O. G., Sonibare, A. O., Otesile, E. B. (2016). Effect of Sex, Age and Time of the Day on Vital Parameters of Apparently Healthy West African Dwarf Goats in Abeokuta, Nigeria. *Alexandria Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, 49 (2): 18-23.
- Benezra, M.V. (1954) A new index for measuring the adaptability of cattle to tropical condition. *Journal of Animal Science*, 13: 1015.



- Blackshaw, J. K. and Blackshaw, A. W. (1994). Heat stress in cattle and the effect of shade on production and behavior: A review. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 34:285-295.
- Brscic, M., Gottardo, F., Mazzenga, A. and Cozzi, G. (2007). Behavioural response to different climatic conditions of beef cattle in intensive rearing systems. *Poljoprivreda*, 13: 103-106.
- Da Silva, R. G., La Scala Jr, N. and Tonhati, H. (2003) Radiative properties of the skin and haircoat of cattle and other animals. *Transactions of the ASAE* 46:913.
- Da Silva, W. E., Leite, J. H. G. M., De Sousa, J. E. R., Costa, W. P., da Silva, W. S. T., Guilhermino, M.M. and Façanha, D. A. E. (2017). Daily rhythmicity of the thermoregulatory responses of locally adapted Brazilian sheep in a semiarid environment. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 61:1221-1231.
- Da Silva, W. E., Leite, J. H. G. M., De Sousa, J. E. R., Costa, W. P., da Silva, W. S. T., Guilhermino, M.M. and Façanha, D. A. E. (2017). Daily rhythmicity of the thermoregulatory responses of locally adapted Brazilian sheep in a semiarid environment. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 61:1221-1231.
- Das, R., Sailo, L., Verma, N., Bharti, P., Saikia, J., Intiwati, L. and Kumar, R. (2016) Impact of heat stress on health and performance of dairy animals: A review. *Veterinary World*, 9:260–268.
- Delgado, C. L., Rosegrant, M. H., Steinfeld, S., Ehui, S and Courbois, C. (1999). Livestock to 2020: The next food revolution. Food, Agriculture and Environment Discussion Paper 28, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC. Pp: 187-202.
- Dikmen, S., Cole, J. B., Null, D. J. and Hansen, P. J. (2012). Heritability of rectal temperature and genetic correlations with production and reproduction traits in dairy cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 95:3401–3405.
- Duncan, D. B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F-test. *Biometrics*, 11: 1 – 14.
- El-Tarabany MS, El-Tarabany AA, Atta MA (2017) Physiological and lactation responses of Egyptian dairy Baladi goats to natural thermal stress under subtropical environmental conditions. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 61:61-68.
- Fadare, A.O., Peters, S.O., Yakubu, A., Sonibare, A.O., Adeleke, M.A., Ozoje, M.O. and Imumorin, I.G. (2012). Physiological and haematological indices suggest superior heat tolerance of white-coloured West African dwarf sheep in the hot humid tropics. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 45: 157–165.
- Garner, J. B., Douglas, M. L., Williams, S. R. O., Wales, W. J., Marett, L. C., Nguyen, T. T. T., Reich, C. M. and Hayes, B. J. (2016). Genomic selection improves heat tolerance in dairy cattle. *Scientific Reports*, 6: 34114. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep34114>.
- Gemechu, G.A. and Kibeb, L. (2017). Effect of Age, Sex and Altitude on the Normal Physiological and Biochemical Parameters in Apparently Healthy Local Breed Sheep in Shebedino District in Sidam Zone, Ethiopia. *Biochemistry. An Indian Journal*, 2017; 11(6):122.
- Heather, J. M. and Chain, B. (2016). The sequence of sequencers: the history of sequencing DNA. *Genomics*, 107: 1–8.
- Ibn Idriss, A. and Abdul Rahim, A. (2018). Heat tolerance in Djallonke sheep under Guinea Savannah conditions. *Tropical Agriculture. (Trinidad)*, 95 (3): 274

- Johnson, H. D. (1980). Environmental management of cattle to minimize the stress of climate changes. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 24: 65–78.
- Koga, A., Kuhara, T. and Kanai, Y. (2004) Comparison of body water retention during water deprivation between swamp Buffaloes and Friesian cattle. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 138:435-440.
- Kubkomawa, I. H., Emenalom, O. O. and Okoli, I. C. (2015). Body Condition Score, Rectal Temperature, Respiratory, Pulse and Heart Rates of Tropical Indigenous Zebu Cattle: A Review. *International Journal of Agriculture Innovations and Research*, 4 (3): 2319-1473.
- Kumar, R., Gupta, I. D., Verma, A., Verma, N. and Vineeth, M. R. (2017). Single nucleotide polymorphisms in Heat Shock Protein (HSP) 90AA1 gene and their association with heat tolerance traits in Sahiwal cows. *Indian Journal Animal Resources*, 51 (1): 64-69.
- Lees, A. M. Lees, J.C., Lisle, A.T., Sullivan, M. L. and Gaughan, J.B. (2018). Effect of heat stress on rumen temperature of three breeds of cattle. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 62: 207–215.
- Marai, I. F. M., El-Darawany, A. A., Fadiel, A. and Abdel-Hafez, M. A. M. (2007). Physiological traits as affected by heat stress in sheep: a review. *Small Rumin Res.* 71:1–12.
- Moberg, G.P. (2000). Biological Response to Stress: Implications for Animal Welfare. In: *The Biology of Animal Stress: Basic Principles and Implications for Animal Welfare*, Moberg G.P. and J.A. Mench (Eds.). CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK., pp: 1-21.
- Nardone, A. and Valenti, A. (2000). The genetic improvement of dairy cows in warm climates. *Proceedings of the joint ANPAEAP-CIHEAM-FAO symposium on Livestock production and climatic uncertainty in the Mediterranean*. Agadir, Morocco. EEAP Publication no. 94.
- Nardone, A., Ronchi, B., Lacetera, N., Ranieri, M.S. and Bernabucci, U. (2010). Effects of climate changes on animal production and sustainability of livestock systems. *Livestock Sciences*, 130: 57-69.
- Nayak, V., Pathak, P. and Adhikary, S. (2018). Rearing Climate Resilient Livestock for Better Productivity. A Review. *International Journal of Livestock Research*, 8(3): 6 - 23.
- Perano, K. M., Usack, J. G. Angenent, L. T. and Gebremedhin, K. G. (2015). Production and physiological responses of heat-stressed lactating dairy cattle to conductive cooling. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 98:5252–5261.
- Pérez, R. V., Cruz, U. M., Reyes. L.A., Correa-Calderon., Baca, M.A.L. and Rivera, A. L. (2020). Heat stress impacts in hair sheep production. Review. *Rev Mex Cienc Pecu*, 11(1):205-222.
- Pragna, P., Archana, P.R., Aleena, J., Sejian, V., Krishnan, G., Bagath, M., Manimaran, A., Beena, V. Kurien, E.K., Varma, G. and Bhatta, R. (2016). Heat Stress and Dairy Cow: Impact on Both Milk Traits. *International Journal of Dairy Science*, 14: 1-11.
- Pragna, P.; Sejian, V.; Soren, N.; Bagath, M.; Krishnan, G.; Beena, V.; Devi, P.I.; Bhatta, R. Summer season induced rhythmic alterations in metabolic activities to adapt to heat stress in three indigenous (osmanabadi, malabari and salem black) goat breeds. *Biol. Rhythm Res.* 49: 551–565.
- Prendiville, D. J., Lowe, J., Earley, B., Spahr, C. and Kettlewell, P. (2002). *Radiotelemetry*

- systems for measuring body temperature*. Grange Research Centre, Tunsany, Ireland, Pp. 37- 89.
- Rashamol, V. P., Sejian, V., Bagath, M., Krishnan, G., Archana, P. R., Bhatta, R. (2018). Physiological adaptability of livestock to heat stress: an updated review. *Journal of Animal Behavior and Biometeorology*, 6:62-71.
- Raymond, R. F. (2017). *Effect of thermal indices and relationships with milk yield in exotic dairy cows using invasive and non-invasive markers*. A M. Sc Dissertation submitted to the School of Postgraduate, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.Pp 1-109.
- Rhoads, M. L., Rhoads, R. P., VanBaale, M. J., Collier, R. J., Sanders, S. R., Weber, W.J., Crooker, B. A. and Baumgard, L. H. (2009). Effects of heat stress and plane of nutrition on lactating Holstein cows: I. Production, metabolism, and aspects of circulating somatotropin. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 92: 1986–1997.
- Ribeiro, M. N., Ribeiro, N. L., Bozzi, R. and Costa, R. G. (2018). Physiological and biochemical blood variables of goats subjected to heat stress – a review. *Journal of Applied Animal Research*, 46:1, 1036-1041, DOI: 10.1080/09712119.2018.1456439.
- Roderick, M. (1965). *The structure of the meat of animals*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. London: the technical press LTD.
- Rojas-Downing, M. M., Nejadhashemi, A. P., Harrigan, T. and Woznicki, S. A. (2017). Climate change and livestock: Impacts, adaptation, and mitigation. *Climate Risk Management*, 16:145-163.
- Rolf, M. M. (2015). *Genetic Basis for heat tolerance in Cattle*. Oklahoma State University. Pp 1 20.
- SAS, (2002). *Statistical Analysis System User Guide.SAS/STAT version 9.0 for windows*. SAS institute Inc., Inc Cary, North Carolina, USA.
- Shaji, S., Sejian, V., Bagath, M., Mech, A., David, I. C. G., Kurien, E. K., Varma, G. Bhatta, R. (2016). Adaptive capability as indicated by behavioral and physiological responses, plasma HSP70 level and PBMC HSP70 mRNA expression in Osmanabadi goats subjected to combined (heat and nutritional) stressors. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 60:1311–1323.
- Singh, A.K., Devi, R., Kumar, P., Kumar, T. and Upadhyay. R.C. (2014). Physiological Changes and Blood Flow in Murrah Buffaloes during summer and Winter Season. *Journal of Buffalo Science*, 3 (2): 1- 7.
- Singh, A.K., Devi, R., Kumar, P., Kumar, T. and Upadhyay. R.C. (2014). Physiological Changes and Blood Flow in Murrah Buffaloes during summer and Winter Season. *Journal of Buffalo Science*, 3 (2): 1- 7.
- Suleiman, I.O., Muhammad, H.A. and Dankoli, Z.A. (2020). Genetic Adaptive Potentials of Small Ruminant Breeds to Heat Stress in Semi-Arid Kano Nigeria. *Continental Journal of Agricultural Science*, 14 (1): 1 – 12.
- Swenson, M. J. and Reece, W. O. (2006). *Dukes – Fisiologia dos Animais Domésticos*. Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara Koogan S.A.
- Tapki, D. and A. Sahin, 2006. Comparison of the thermoregulatory behaviours of low and high producing dairy cows in a hot environment. *Applied Animal Behavioral Science*, 99: 1- 11.
- Thatcher, W.W., Flamenbaum, I., Block, J. and Bilby, T.R. (2010). Interrelationships of Heat

- Stress and Reproduction in Lactating Dairy Cows. High Plains Dairy Conference, Amarillo, Texas. Pp 45-60.
- West, J. W. (1994). Interactions of energy and bovine somatotropin with heat stress. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 77:2091–2102.
- Wojtas, K., Cwynar, P., Kołacz, R. (2014). Effect of thermal stress on physiological and blood parameters in merino sheep. *Bull. Vet. Inst. Pulawy*, 58: 283–288.
- Yakubu, A., Salako, A. E., Donato, M. D., Takeet, M. I., Peters, S. O., Wheto, M. and Imumorin, I. G. (2016). Interleukin-2 (IL-2) gene polymorphism and association with heat tolerance in Nigerian goats. *Small Ruminant Research*, 141:127-134.